$$\frac{(arrender)}{F_{\text{Rec}}} = \frac{(arrender)}{(arrender)} =$$

$$\left(-\partial_{\overline{c}_{\ell}}-h_{o}(\iota)\right)f_{\ell}(\iota, z) = S(\iota, z) - S \int d\mathbf{x}_{\iota}^{\prime} \sigma(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{x}_{\prime}) \left[f_{\ell}(\iota, z)f_{\ell}(\iota', \iota'_{\ell}) + Sf_{\ell}(\iota', \iota'_{\ell})f_{\ell}(\iota', z)\right]$$
(1)

$$= \delta(\iota_{i}z) + \mathcal{V}_{H}(\varkappa_{i}) \mathcal{G}(\iota_{i}z) - \int d\varkappa_{i}' \mathcal{V}(\varkappa_{i},\varkappa_{i}') \mathcal{G}(\iota_{i}'z)$$
(2)

where 
$$\mathcal{V}_{tf}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \equiv -g \int d\mathbf{x}_{i}' \, \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{x}_{i}') \, \frac{g(1'_{i},1'_{i})}{i\,\mathbf{n}(i')} \,$$

Matsuban-transform (2);

$$\left(i\omega_{1}-b_{0}(i)-v_{\mu}(x_{i})\right) \overline{\mathcal{G}}\left(i\omega_{1},x_{1},x_{2}\right) = \delta(x_{1}-x_{2}) - \int dx_{1}' v_{\varepsilon}(x_{1},x_{1}') \overline{\mathcal{G}}\left(i\omega_{1},x_{1}',x_{2}\right)$$

$$(5)$$

Avsily for solution  
(analogue to \$9:5): 
$$\int (i\omega_{n}; x_{i}, x_{2}) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\psi_{\lambda}(x_{i}) \psi_{\lambda}^{*}(x_{0})}{i\omega_{n} - E_{\lambda}}$$
 (i)  
Insert nice (60.5):  

$$\sum_{\lambda} \left[ \left( i\omega_{i} - h_{0}(i) - \mathcal{V}_{\mu}(x_{i}) \right) \psi_{\lambda}(x_{0}) + \int dx_{i}' \mathcal{V}_{E}(x_{i}, \pi_{i}) \psi_{\lambda}(x_{0}) \right] \frac{\psi_{\lambda}^{*}(x_{0})}{i\omega_{n} - E_{\lambda}} = \delta(x_{i}, x_{0})$$

$$= E_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}^{*}(x_{i})$$
(2)  
This is satisfied provided that the subject particle name functions along  
 $\left( h_{0}(i) + \mathcal{V}_{\mu}(\pi_{i}) \right) \psi_{\lambda}(x_{1}) - \int dx_{i}' \mathcal{V}_{E}(x_{i}, \pi_{i}) \psi_{\lambda}(\pi_{i}') = E_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}(x_{i})$ 
(3)  
"HF-q. for single-particle unverfineture."  
and completeness:  $\sum_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}(x_{i}) \psi_{\lambda}^{*}(\pi_{2}) = \delta(x_{i} - \pi_{2})$ 
(4)  
with  $\int_{i}^{T_{i}=T_{i}'} \int_{\omega_{i}} \sum_{\omega_{i}} \int_{i}^{t} (i\omega_{i}; x_{i}, x_{i}') e^{i \mathcal{O}^{*}\omega_{i}} \int_{\omega_{i}}^{t} \frac{\psi_{\lambda}(\pi_{i}) \psi_{\lambda}^{*}(\pi_{i}')}{\sum_{\omega_{i}} \frac{e^{i \frac{1}{1}\omega_{i}}}{i\omega_{i} - E_{\lambda}}}$ 
(5)  
(5) to (5), with (60.5), (60.6), heave to be solved adf-consistently.

Comments: (from Rickayzen, p. 89)

Since the Hartree-Fock approximation sums a larger class of diagrams than the Hartree approximation, it might seem that it is a better approximation. However, this is not always the case, especially when long-range forces are involved. In such situation corrections to the exchange diagrams are very important and reduce their effect. In fact, the Hartree approximation, being based on a classical, self-consistent picture, works well when classical considerations can give a good answer. Typically, this occurs when macroscopic effects are paramount, for example in discussions of the long-waevelength collective modes such as plasma oscillations and sound waves, and of the effects of slowly varying external forces.

The Hartree approximation is also useful for starting an iterative solution of a problem. To iniate the Hartree approximation, on needs to make a guess at the one-point function v(1), the self-consistent potential. This is used to generate the Green's functions, which can in turn be used to calculate an improved potential. The process can then be continued, at least in principle.

$$\frac{\text{Application}: \text{Rensity-response to an external potential (Rickayzen, §3.8) [PT63]}{\text{Rickayzen, §3.8)} [PT63]$$

$$\frac{-\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{X}} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \mathcal{U}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\rho}(x, ) \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = -\mathcal{U} = \int dx, \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}}(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}) = \int dx, \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}}(t_{1}, \bar{t}) = \int dx, \quad \hat$$

density 
$$\hat{\rho}(x_i) = \hat{\psi}(x_i) - \bar{\rho}(x_i)$$
 (2)

defined w.r.t. background: 
$$\overline{p}(n_i) = \langle 2i^{\dagger}(n_i) 2i^{\dagger}(n_i) \rangle = \beta_{ions}$$
 (3)

$$no \text{ that } \langle \hat{p}(n_1) \rangle = 0 \qquad (4)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} The subtraction of  $\vec{p} & m (2) \text{ is equivalent } 6 a "months ordering presuritation". \end{bmatrix}$ 
Response of the density to external perturbation is given by Kubo formula:
$$Sp(i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{pp}^{R}(i,2) U(2) \qquad (5)$$$$